Buckheit, James

RECEIVED 603

From:

Marsha Ricke [mricke@gorockets.org]

Sent:

Tuesday, June 10, 2008 1:06 PM

To:

jbuckheit@state.pa.us

Subject:

Proposed Graduation Competency Assessments

Importance: High

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY

2008 JUN 16 PM 4: 21

REVIEW COMMISSION

Dear Mr. Buckheit:

Please see the attached cover letter which is accompanied with our school board approved resolution opposing the State Board of Education's proposal to enact Graduation Competency Assessments. Thank you for your time and consideration of this important matter.

Karen E. Jez, Superintendent Titusville Area School District



TITUSVILLE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

221 NORTH WASHINGTON STREET • TITUSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA 16354-1785 PHONE: (814) 827-2715 • FAX: (814) 827-7761

SUPERINTENDENT TERRY L. KERR ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT

KAREN E. JEZ

2696

RECEIVED

JUN 1 2 2008

PA. STATE BOARD

Jim Buckheit, Executive Director State Board of Education 333 Market Street Harrisburg PA 17126-0333

Dear Mr. Buckheit:

June 10, 2008

This letter is to express our opposition to the proposed Graduation Competency Assessments (GCA's), IRRC 2696, regulation 6-312. Enclosed for your review is a copy of the resolution opposing enactment of the GCA's which was adopted by our board of school directors on February 18, 2008. The board approved resolution was subsequently sent to Dr. Gerald Zahorchak, the IRRC, and state senators and representatives along with a letter which further outlined our concerns regarding the proposed GCA regulations.

Although it has been indicated by PDE that various changes have occurred since the initial proposal that would support the GCA process, our district continues its position against the GCA's. The numerous levels of testing currently in place from classroom achievement to grade level assessments are proven tools for monitoring and accessing student performance and, ultimately, determining graduation readiness. Graduation requirements that are locally structured and supported through curriculum designed to meet the state standards also promote student achievement, and through the PSSA's another battery of testing is required to determine student proficiency. A very valid consideration is that the addition of another state-mandated testing system undermines local authority for public education.

As we continue to be diligent in our efforts to provide a quality education for our students, equipping them for success in a global society is foremost in our endeavors. We respectfully request that you consider our concerns.

Sincerely,

TITUSVILLE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

Karen E. Jez Superintendent

enclosure



RESOLUTION OPPOSING PROPOSED HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION COMPETENCY ASSESSMENTS BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TITUSVILLE AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the State Board of Education has approved a proposal to revise the current high school graduation requirements under the Chapter 4 regulations to require students to pass a series of standardized high stakes Graduation Competency Assessments in order to get a diploma; and

WHEREAS, these new requirements and exams will be the same for all students, whether they are taking college prep or vocational courses, are English language learners or participating in individualized programs, and all students effectively will be required to take the same courses in order to pass this new battery of state tests; and

WHEREAS, Chapter 4 currently allows districts to use either the PSSA <u>or</u> rigorous local assessments for their students to demonstrate proficiency of the state academic standards; and it is misleading and incorrect to make the assumption that because students demonstrate their achievements on local assessments rather than the PSSA that there is a disconnect between proficiency and graduation; and

WHEREAS, although the GCA proposal permits schools to use a local assessment option, it establishes numerous limitations, including the elimination of the ability to use various assessment strategies now available to local entities and requires local tests to be closely modeled to the GCAs; and

WHEREAS, decades of research shows many reasons why standardized pencil and paper test scores should never be the determining factor in making major decisions about students, and that a diploma should be granted based on the coursework, tests and quizzes, presentations, projects and papers throughout the student's career; and

WHEREAS, the State Board of Education has not prepared a cost analysis or fiscal note, even though this will create a large financial impact on state and local budgets, and the State Board's own costing-out study did not include the costs for this new system and found that the state already is \$4.4 billion short in helping school districts prepare students to meet the academic standards by 2014; and

WHEREAS, the proposal requires school districts to absorb many new costs related to revising curriculum, professional development, test development and validation, test preparation and administration, remediation and other costs. Given the state's funding shortfall and the Act 1 limitations on tax increases, school districts have limited ability to fund these potential new costs.

Adopted this 18TH	day of FE	BRUARY ,	2008.	
Signed	Dick	Attest:	2	
School Board President DONNA M. POPIESKI	Topelske	Board Secreta RONALD G. L	-	(seal)